

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,602	03/31/2004		Adriano M.P. Ferreira	69344-A CCD 9675	
Christopher C.	7590 Dunham	05/01/2007	EXAMINER		
c/o Cooper & D	Ounham LLP		MORILLO, JANELL COMBS		
1185 Ave. of the Americas New York, NY 10036				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1.0 1.01 , 1				1742	
					
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				05/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/815,602	2	FERREIRA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Janelle Cor	nbs-Morillo	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 February 2007.							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-8 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
A44 - 4		•		•				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 052004,100404		Interview Summary (i Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Di Notice of Informal Pa Di Other:	te				

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-8 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected groups I, II, and III, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on January 16, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashiguchi et al (US 5,486,243).

Hashiguchi teaches an Al-Mg alloy comprising (in wt%): 3-10% Mg, a total of 0.3-2.0% Fe + Si, opt. 0.02-0.5% ea at least one of Cu, Mn, Cr, Zr, Ti (column 2 lines 19-25), which overlaps the instant ranges of Mg, Fe, Si, Cu, Mn, Cr, Ti (cl. 9, 12, 13). Hashiguchi teaches a Fe/Si ratio of typically 1-2 (see Table 1). Because Hashiguchi teaches an overlapping Al-Mg-Fe-Si-Mn alloy, and wherein the Fe/Si ranges implied by the Fe and Si ranges of Hashiguchi broadly overlap the presently claimed ratio (cl. 9, 10, 11), it is held that Hashiguchi has created a prima facie case of obviousness of the presently claimed invention.

Overlapping ranges have been held to be a prima facie case of obviousness, see MPEP § 2144.05. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select any portion of the

Application/Control Number: 10/815,602 Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

range, including the claimed range, from the broader range disclosed in the prior art, because the prior art finds that said composition in the entire disclosed range has a suitable utility.

Additionally, "The normal desire of scientists or artisans to improve upon what is already generally known provides the motivation to determine where in a disclosed set of percentage ranges is the optimum combination of percentages," In re Peterson, 65 USPQ2d at 1379 (CAFC 2003).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janelle Combs-Morillo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1240. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am- 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ROY KING ' SUPERVISORY PATENT SKAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTED 1700

11

Art Unit: 1742

JCM

April 20, 2007